# The Chess Endgame Studies of Richard Réti : Knights and bishops 

John Beasley, 14 January 2012, minor correction 2 March

5.1, dedicated to Dr G. C. A Oskam, appeared in Tijdschrift v. d. NSB in 1922. White needs to capture the pawn on g3 and the knight can attack it within three moves, but the Black king needs only three moves to get into position to defend it. However, with a little care White can prevent the Black king from making a diagonal move downward on to the f-file, and this is sufficient to keep it away from the pawn: $1 \mathbf{N e 8} \mathbf{K e 6}$ (...Kf6 not available) $2 \mathrm{Ng} 7+\mathrm{Ke5}$ (...Kf5 not available) $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{N h 5}$ (see 5.1a), and the pawn is lost because ...Kf4 is not available. Black can of course try to defend the pawn with his knight instead of with his king, but a timely Bc2 will keep the knight away from the danger square e 4 , and if the knight plays to any other square defending $g 3$ the bishop will have time to attack it.


The main line in 5.2 (Hastings and St Leonards Post, January 1922, version) is even shorter. Black threatens $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 5$ picking up the pawn, so $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{N d 4 +}$ is almost automatic, and can Black play $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 7$ and attack the pawn from the front? No, he will be one move too late: $2 \mathrm{Kxh} 2 \mathrm{Ka} 63 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Bf} 4+4 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 55 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Bb} 86 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~Kb} 4$ 7 f 5 Kxb 38 f 6 Kb 49 a 6 Kb 510 f 7 and the bishop is overloaded. If instead $1 . . \mathrm{Kd} 5$ then 2 a 6 and the pawn promotes at once, hence $\mathbf{1}$...Kc5, and now $2 \mathrm{Nb} 3+\mathrm{Kb} 5$ will be good for Black because after 3 Kxh 2 he will be a tempo ahead of the line $1 . . . \mathrm{Kb} 72 \mathrm{Kxh} 2 \mathrm{Ka6} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 3$.

But White needn't save his knight. If he tries 2 Kxh 2 then of course he fails ( $2 \ldots \mathrm{Bf} 4+3 \mathrm{~K} \sim \mathrm{Kxd} 44 \mathrm{a} 6 \mathrm{Bb}$ ) , but he can play 2 Kh1 giving 5.2a. Black is now completely helpless. Any bishop move other than $2 \ldots \mathrm{Be} 3$ allows a fork, as does $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 6$, and any other king move allows 3 a 6 promoting at once.

$\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{N}$ have a routine win against a bare king, so in a position like $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ (28. říjen, 26 September 1925) White must do something before Black can mop up the pawns. 1 a6 will allow $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 8$ winning without difficulty ( 2 a7 Bd 5 etc) and 1 Ka 7 throws away the b-pawn to no useful purpose, and the move is $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{K b} \mathbf{7}$ keeping Black's king at bay. Taking the b-pawn now would serve no useful purpose ( $1 \ldots \mathrm{Bxb} 52 \mathrm{a} 6$ and White's remaining pawn will cost Black his bishop), nor would a bishop check ( $1 . . \mathrm{Bd} 5+2 \mathrm{Ka} 7$ and this time it is the b-pawn that will cost Black his bishop). This leaves only $\mathbf{1}$...Ne3 hoping to get back to c 7 .

If now 2 b6 then $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 5$ with ...Nb4 to follow, and Black will soon mop up. But the natural and correct move is 2 a6, and after 2...Nd5 3 a7 (if instead 3 b6 to cover c7 then again $3 \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 4$ ) Nc7 we have 5.3a. If now 4 b6 to chivvy the knight then $4 \ldots \mathrm{Bd} 5+5 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 \mathrm{Na} 6$ will be mate, and if $4 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 / \mathrm{Kb} 6$ then again $4 \ldots \mathrm{Bd} 5$. This leaves only $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{~ a 8 Q}$, and after $\mathbf{4} .$. Bd5+ 5 Ka7 Nxa8 the new queen has been taken without compensation (but not by $5 \ldots$ Bxa8, when 6 b6 does successfully chivvy the knight). Now the b-pawn takes up the baton, $\mathbf{6}$ b6, and if Black plays $6 . . . K c 87 \mathbf{b 7} \mathbf{B x b} 7$ to prevent its promotion he finds that he has given an attractive stalemate (see 5.3b).
"28. říjen" means "October 28", the anniversary of the founding of the independent Czechoslovak state after the First World War.


In 5.4 (Časopis československých šachistů, 1924), Black threatens to draw either by $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 3$ etc or by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 1$ etc, so we need to bring the knight into play, but 1 Nd2 is met by $1 \ldots$ Kg1 2 Ne4 h2 3 Nf2 h1Q 4 Nxh1 g3 5 Bd5 (White has no more useful move) Kh2 6 Kfl h 3 etc, and 1 Nc 3 is soon seen to do nothing useful about $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 3$. A king move to the f-file will allow Black a tempo-gaining check, and the rather surprising answer is $\mathbf{1 K e 1}$. This seems a very leisurely move when all the need would appear to be for urgent action, but it vacates e2 for the knight while still remaining poised ready to intervene as necessary, and we shall see that this makes all the difference.

If now 1...g3 then $2 \mathbf{N d 2} \mathbf{g 2}$ (2...Kg1 $3 \mathrm{Nf} 3+\mathrm{Kg} 24 \mathrm{Bd} 5$ with $4 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 25 \mathrm{Ng} 5+\mathrm{Kg} 16 \mathrm{Nh} 3$ mate or $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kh} 1$ 5 Kfl g2+ 6 Kf 2 and mate in a few) $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{N f 3} \mathbf{+} \mathbf{K g} 34 \mathbf{N g} 1$ (see 5.4a) h2 (4...Kg4 5 Bc8+ and 6 Bxh3, after which White will mop up) $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{N e 2 +}$ (ah!), and if $\mathbf{5} \ldots \mathrm{Kh} 3$ to keep in touch with the g-pawn then $\mathbf{6 B c 8}$ is elegantly mate. If instead $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 1$ then $2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 33 \mathrm{Ne} 2+\mathrm{Kh} 2$ with either $4 \mathrm{Bc} 8 \mathrm{~g} 2(4 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 25 \mathrm{Nf} 4+) 5 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ etc or 4 Nd 4 g 2 $5 \mathrm{Nf} 3+$ transposing back into the main line, while $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 3$ allows $2 \mathrm{Kf1}$, with Nc 3 and $\mathrm{Ne} 2+$ to follow, and the pawns are soon seen to be doomed.

5.5 appeared in Teplitz-Schönauer Anzeiger in 1922. Try the obvious 1 Kc 4 , homing in on the pawns: no, 1...b3 $2 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{~b} 23 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{Q} 4 \mathrm{Nxb} 1+\mathrm{Ka} 4$ gives 5.5a, and if the bishop moves to safety it will give stalemate. Nor is 1 Ne 4 better, because $1 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 32 \mathrm{Kc} 4$ transposes into the same line, and if White tries 2 Nc 3 instead Black will play $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 2$ with ...Kc2 and ...b2 to follow.

The correct move is $\mathbf{1 K e 4}$, approaching more circumspectly. Black must still try $\mathbf{1} . . \mathrm{b} \mathbf{3}$ to promote his pawn (other moves give no trouble), but after $2 \mathbf{N d 5} \mathbf{b 2} \mathbf{3 ~ N c 3}$ he cannot sacrifice because there will be no stalemate. Worse, after 3...Kb3 4 Kd3 (see 5.5b) he will soon be left without a safe move ( $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4+5 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Ka} 36 \mathrm{Kc} 2$, 4...Ka3 5 Kc 2 c 46 Bc 7 ) and White will mop up.


In 5.6 (3rd Prize, Shakhmatny Listok, 1927/II), Black threatens to put an iron blockade on White's pawns by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Bb} 4$ and $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 7$, after which he will mop up at leisure. The only answer to this is to block the diagonal b4-f8, and it must be done at once: $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{d 6}+$. There follows $\mathbf{1} . .$. Kxd6 (declining the offer doesn't help) $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{f 7}$ (we'll look at 2 Kg 7 and 2 Kg 8 in a moment) $\mathbf{N d} 7$ ( $2 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 73 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ leads to the same finish, $3 \ldots \mathrm{Bc} 3+4 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \mathrm{Ne} 7$ etc) $3 \mathbf{K g} 7$ (luring the bishop to c3) Bc3+ $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{K g 8}$ (see 5.6a) Ke7 (if 4...Nf6+ then 5 Kg 7 , with 5 ...Ng4+ 6 f6 Bxf6+ 7 Kg 6 and $7 \ldots \mathrm{Be} 78 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{Bf} 6+9 \mathrm{Kg} 6$ repeating or $7 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 78 \mathrm{f} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Kxf} 89 \mathrm{Kf5}$ winning a piece, or $5 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 7+$ 6 Kg 8 repeating, or $5 \ldots \mathrm{~N} \sim+6 \mathrm{f6}$ Bxf6+ 7 Kg 8 with $8 \mathrm{f8Q}$ to follow) $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{f 8 Q}+(5 \mathrm{f6}+\mathrm{Nxf6}+$ is good for Black $)$ Nxf8 6 f6+ (see 5.6b) with 6...Bxf6 stalemate or $\mathbf{6} . . . \mathrm{Ke8} 7 \mathbf{f 7 +}$ and again stalemate. If 3 Kg 8 then $3 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 7$, and with the bishop not yet lured to c 3 the sacrificial promotion $4 \mathrm{f8Q}+$ will not lead to stalemate; if 2 Kg 8 then $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 73 \mathrm{f} 7 \mathrm{Ke} 7$ and the same; and if 2 Kg 7 then $2 \ldots \mathrm{Bc} 3$ pinning the pawn, with a straightforward win to follow.

- The chess endgame studies of Richard Réti -


According to Kalendovský, Réti described 5.7 and $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ as offshoots from study $\mathbf{5 . 1 0}$ below. In 5.7 (Münchner Zeitung, 8 June 1929) $\mathbf{1}$ Kf1 Kb2 2 Nb5+ Kb1 3 Na3+ Kc1 4 Ke1 (not 4 Ke2 a1Q 5 Bxa1 stalemate) e2 $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{N c} 4$ (simplest) Kb1 $6 \mathbf{N d 2}+$ etc. There is claimed to be a further try in 1 Kg 2 again refuted by stalemate ( $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 2$ $2 \mathrm{Nb} 5+\mathrm{Kb} 13 \mathrm{Na} 3+\mathrm{Kc} 14 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{e} 25 \mathrm{Kxe} 2 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{Kxa} 1)$, but 1 Kfl is so much White's most natural move that I don't think I would have noticed this line (unless I had been probing the position for cooks) had not the commentary explicitly pointed it out to me.

In 5.8, also published in Münchner Zeitung in 1929, $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{K f 3}$, and there is a nice point after 1...Kd2: 2 Nc 3 ,
 6 Nf3 Kb1 7 Nd2+ although the natural 5 Kg 3 wins more quickly.


The natural move in 5.9 (Commendation, Magyar Sakkvilág, 1928) is 1 Kd7 threatening $2 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \mathrm{~B} \sim 3 \mathrm{~b} 8 \mathrm{Q}$ winning the bishop, but Black replies $1 \ldots$ Bd6 and White has a problem (see 5.9a). If he continues 2 Kc , Black will reply $2 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 7+3 \mathrm{Kd} 7 \mathrm{Nd} 5$, and any further move Kc 8 will be met by ...Nb6+. If instead he tries 2 b 6 then $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 5$, and 3 Kc 8 can be met by $3 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 7+$ and $4 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 6$.

The solution is $\mathbf{1}$ Kd8. This again threatens 2 Kc 8 etc, and if $\mathbf{1}$...Bd6 White now has $\mathbf{2}$ Kd7. This gives 5.9a with Black to play, and what is Black to do? The bishop must continue to guard b8, and if $2 \ldots \mathrm{Bb} 8$ then 3 Kc 8 $\mathrm{B} \sim 4 \mathrm{~b} 8 \mathrm{Q}$ as before. $2 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 7$ overloads the bishop, allowing an immediate draw by 3 b 8 Q , and any other knight move takes it out of range of e7 and allows 3 Kc 8 etc. This leaves only $\mathbf{2} . . . \mathrm{Kd5}$, and $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{Kc} \mathbf{8 N e} \mathbf{7 + 4} \mathbf{~ K d 7}$ gives 5.9b. The bishop being overloaded, White threatens 5 b 8 Q , and after a nondescript knight move such as $4 \ldots \mathrm{Nf} 5$ there will follow $5 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \mathrm{Ne} 7+6 \mathrm{Kd} 7$ repeating the position; the move ...Nd5 which previously won the game for Black is now unavailable because his king is occupying that square.

The unexpected damage done to Black's winning chances by the apparently innocent move ...Kd5 makes 5.9a one of the collection's more amusing examples of reciprocal zugzwang.

5.10 (Národní listy, 24 March 1929, awarded a Special Prize, dedicated to Minister Dr Vavro Šrobar) looks very promising for Black, whose king stops the two connected pawns and whose minor pieces will be able to command a8. Nevertheless, let's see. Try 1 Kc6: no, 1...Nxe6 2 Kb7 (2 a8Q Bf3+) Nc5+ 3 Kc6 (3 Kb6/Kb8 Nd7+ 4 Kc 7 Bf 3$) \mathrm{Nd} 74 \mathrm{f} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Nxf8} 5 \mathrm{~Kb} 7 \mathrm{Bf} 3+6 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 \mathrm{Nd} 7+7 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Bf} 3$. Try $1 \mathrm{f8Q}+:$ again no, $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kxf8}$ 2 Kc6 Na8 3 Kd7 (hoping for 3...Bg4 4 Kd 8 Bxe6 stalemate) Ba4+ 4 Kc8 Ke7 5 Kb 7 Kd 6 , with 6 Kxa 8 Kc 7 and White gets mated or 6 e 7 Bc6+. Correct is $\mathbf{1 ~ K b 6 , ~ a n d ~ a f t e r ~ 1 . . . N a 8 + ~} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ K b 7 ~ B f 3 + ~ t h e n ~} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ K c 8}$ giving 5.10a (but not 3 Kb 8 , when $3 \ldots \mathrm{Bd} 5$ will wait for 4 Kc 8 and then take the e-pawn with check).

White now threatens $4 \mathrm{f} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Kxf8} 5 \mathrm{Kd} 7$, and if $5 \ldots \mathrm{Bg} 4$ pinning the pawn then again 6 Kd 8 Bxe 6 stalemate. $3 \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 6+$ gets nowhere ( 4 Kc 7 , with $4 \ldots \mathrm{Na} 8+5 \mathrm{Kc} 8$ repeating or $4 \ldots \mathrm{~N} \sim 5 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ ), $3 \ldots \mathrm{Bg} 2$ does nothing about the threat 4 f8Q + etc, and the only move to apply pressure is $\mathbf{3}$...Bc6. Now, after $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{f 8 Q}+\mathbf{K x f 8}, 5 \mathrm{Kd7}$ is unavailable, but $\mathbf{5}$ Kd8 ties Black's bishop to the diagonal a4-e8 (see 5.10b), and if it moves along this diagonal, say $5 \ldots \mathrm{Bb} 5$, White will play 6 Kc 8 and the threat of 7 Kb 7 will bring it straight back to $\mathrm{c} 6-$ no it won't, $6 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 7$ 7 Kb 7 Kd 68 Kxa8 Kc7 and again White gets mated. The e-pawn must advance first, 6 e7+ Kf7, and now 7 Kc 8 does force $7 \ldots$ Bc6 ( $7 \ldots$ Kxe 7 will remove the e-pawn, and without its presence to give White a move there will be no mate). Now 8 Kd 8 gives $\mathbf{5 . 1 0}$ c below, and the draw is clear ( $8 \ldots \mathrm{Be} 8 / \mathrm{Bb} 5 / \mathrm{Ba} 49 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \mathrm{Bc} 610 \mathrm{Kd} 8$ repeating).

So a bishop move in 5.10b doesn't work, and a knight move will lose a piece. Only the king remains, and 5...Kg7 is clearly its more promising option. (Réti's endgame studies being what they are, we probably ought to look at $5 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 8$ as well, since an immediate 6 e 7 will be met by $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 7$ giving $\mathbf{5 . 1 0} \mathbf{c}$ with White to move and the e-pawn is lost at once, but it gives no trouble: 6 Kc 8 , with $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 87 \mathrm{Kd} 8$ repeating or $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 77 \mathrm{e} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 78 \mathrm{Kd} 8$ and again 5.10c.) If (after $5 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 7$ ) 6 e 7 then $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 7$ or 6 Kc 8 then $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 6$, and in either case the e-pawn goes, which leaves only $\mathbf{6}$ Ke7. The only reply to break new ground for Black is $\mathbf{6 . . . K g 6}$ (typical alternatives are $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 87 \mathrm{Kd} 6 \mathrm{Ba} 48 \mathrm{Ke} 7$ and $6 \ldots \mathrm{Ba} 47 \mathrm{Kd} 8 \mathrm{Kf} 68 \mathrm{e} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 79 \mathrm{Kc} 8$, and although there is some branching they all lead back into known territory). White must meet $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 6$ by 7 Kf8 (not $7 \mathrm{Kd6}$, when 7 ... Ba $48 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Kf5}$ wins), and if $7 \ldots$...Kf6 then 8 e 7 with an amusing simultaneous overload of Black's pieces (8...Nc7 9 a 8 Q B/NxQ 10 e8Q, or 9 e 8 Q similarly). $7 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 7$ is met by 8 e 7 and much the same ( $8 \ldots$ Ne6+ doesn't help because 9 Kg 8 leaves the bishop overloaded, and $9 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 7 / \mathrm{Ng} 7$ will let the White king back to f 8 ), and the main line move is $7 \ldots \mathbf{N b 6}$.
5.10c

5...B~, after 8 Kd 8
5.10d


Main line, after 7...Nb6
5.10e


After 13 Kb 8

This has brought us to 5.10d. Not now 8 Ke 7 , met by $8 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 8+$, but $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{~ e 7} \mathbf{N d 7}+9 \mathrm{Ke} \mathbf{8}(9 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \mathrm{Bd} 5+10 \mathrm{Kh} 8$ Nf6 and both pawns go) Nf6+ $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{K d 8}$ ( $10 \mathrm{Kf8} \mathrm{Nh} 7+11 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \mathrm{Bd} 5+$ etc) $\mathbf{K f 7} \mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{~ e 8 Q}+(11 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Bf} 312 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ Nd7+ etc) Nxe8 12 Kc8 Nf6 13 Kb8 (see 5.10e) Nd7+ 14 Kc7 Ne5 15 Kb8 and a draw by repetition.

A tour de force of analysis, wide-ranging and precise even if it lacks the clarity and shapeliness of most of Réti's studies. According to the definitive results with $\mathrm{K}+2 \mathrm{P} v \mathrm{~K}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{N}$ now available, White's play in the main line has had to be completely accurate from 5 Kd 8 right through to the end.

- The chess endgame studies of Richard Réti -


There will be no question of a White win in $\mathbf{5 . 1 1}$ (Shakhmatny Listok, 1928), despite his having the bishop and knight; he will be well content to escape with a draw, and his first task is to deal with the dangerous c-pawn. Try 1 Bb5, to meet $1 \ldots \mathrm{c} 2$ with $2 \mathrm{Ba} 4+$ ? No, Black will play $1 \ldots$ e4+ first, and after $2 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{f} 3+3 \mathrm{gxf} 3 \mathrm{c} 24 \mathrm{Ba} 4+$ Kxa4 5 Kd 2 Kb 3 he wins ( $6 \mathrm{Kc} 1 \mathrm{exf} 37 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{f} 28 \mathrm{Nf} 1 \mathrm{Kc} 39 \mathrm{~N} \sim \mathrm{Kd} 310 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Ke} 211 \mathrm{Ng} 3+\mathrm{Ke} 1$ ). $1 \mathrm{Nxf4}+\mathrm{exf4}$ diverts the e-pawn but at too great a cost ( $2 \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{c} 23 \mathrm{Ba} 4+\mathrm{Kxa} 44 \mathrm{Kxc} 2 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{etc}$ ), and surely $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{N g} \mathbf{3}$ is not going to help?

Let's play on. 1...fxg3 (1...c2 2 Ne 2 f 33 gxf3 gxf3 $4 \mathrm{Nc} 1+\mathrm{Kb} 25 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{e} 46 \mathrm{Bf} 1 \mathrm{e} 3+7 \mathrm{Kxe} 3 \mathrm{Kxc} 18 \mathrm{Bd} 3$ ) 2 Bb5, and if now $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 2$ then $3 \mathrm{Ba} 4+\mathrm{Kxa} 44 \mathrm{Kxc} 4$ and White will have a comfortable draw against $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{Pe} 4$ (the blocked pawns on the g-file won't help Black). But again Black can interpolate 2...e4+. The natural and correct reply is $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{K e 2}$, but if 3 Ke 3 instead the answer is not the natural $3 \ldots \mathrm{c} 2$, met by $4 \mathrm{Ba} 4+\mathrm{Kxa} 45 \mathrm{Kd} 2$ as in the solution, but $3 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 3$ (if now 4 Ba 4 then $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kxa} 4$ takes the bishop without losing the c-pawn, and if anything else then $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 2$ and $5 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 2$ forces the pawn through).

Reverting to the main line 3 Ke 2 , play continues $\mathbf{3} . . . \mathbf{c} 24 \mathrm{Ba} 4+$ Kxa4 5 Kd2 Kb3 $6 \mathrm{Kc1}$, and we have 5.11a. Now both $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 3$ and $6 \ldots$ e3 will give stalemate, but Black also has $\mathbf{6} \ldots \mathrm{Kc4}$, and in the absence of the g-pawns this would give him a win ( $7 \mathrm{Kxc} 2 \mathrm{e} 38 \mathrm{Kd1} \mathrm{Kd} 3$ taking the opposition, or 8 Kc 1 Kc 3 and the same). Not so in their presence, however: $\mathbf{7} \mathbf{~ K x c} 2$ e3 $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{K d 1}$ Kd3 9 Ke1 (see 5.11b), and the normally winning move $\mathbf{9 . . . e 2}$ gives yet another stalemate.

